P.F 27-900

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

1894-95

~~191~~

(2R)

File No. 78-94

Serial Nos. 1-20

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1-20

Pt ~~Part~~ B for Jany 03

SUBJECT.

Appeal of the ryots of Poethimalli Chickballapur taluk &c— the heavy contribution payable on lands below the Sreenivasa Sagar tank

W D 1250—GPE—30,000 28-1-19

Memo

Petn No 1647 of 1896-97.

Petitioners — Rep by the Thahsildar
{ Bandenawanahalli
{ Bommanahalli
Gulbarga Taluk

The Muchalikas in this case were
taken to the spot for the
construction of this tank. From the
letter Register of Govt Proceedings
Cases Nos 1382-90 dt 1/10/88.
This fact explains why the
conditions prescribed in para 3 of
the above order were not strictly
followed & Muchalikas
taken from holders of notified
than 5% of the area occupied.
The muchalikas (Exhibits
Serial Nos 26 & 27) are signed by
13 holders only of the 59 holders
in the Vide the tank & Bommanahalli
villages. While the Muchalika
was executed by the Jowabdars
of Bommanahalli with
occupied area of 300 acres

Todd

Was the assessment
of such a garden
lands revised
after the construction
of this tank? If so
what were the
rates before
& after revision.
If not what are
the rates?
What is Maximum
rate enforced for
dry lands after
this irrigation was
extended where.
I mean the water
rate.
When these notes
are received we
would like to
draw up the
reply in accordance
with the order
which may be
approved & is
to be issued.

Todd
23.9.97

Draft Memo
Todd

Off Prof Asst Engr
Ill 25/9/97

My
25/9/97
Exec Asst Engr
25/9/97

(3)

As a result of the further enq. instituted by the Dy Comr after the report was called for, the Dy Comr has now ascertained that 73 acres & 8 gunthas out of the above 538 acres & 7 gunthas are unirrigable This means that the amount of contribution has decreased from Rs 14,782-8-0 to Rs 12,595-2-0.

The Dy Comr also considers that the rate should be revised & instead of the 3 rates given in the Muchalka (which however bind only the signatories who will however get the benefit of the revision) he proposes 5 rates (ranging from 2 Rs to 17½ Rs per acre) for drylands of Vosethalli & Bandemanahalli, a uniform rate of 12½ Rs per acre for wet lands in the above villages & two rates of 15 Rs & 12 Rs for the lands of Jodi Bommanahalli

This revision will of course
bring down the contributions amount
a good deal.

(4)

The weak point in this proposal
is that it will be difficult
to classify a given dry land
under any of the 5 classes (according to the nature of
proposed by the Board. Under
indeed the classification carried
out by responsible officers.

2

MJ 20/9/9

2 vide para 18

The Board also report that no attempt has been made to levy contribution from the Inamdars of Kanagoppa in the Gowribidnur taluk save of the land of such lie within the contour of irrigable area under the 2nd Sluice

Besides the Inamdars of Bommanahalli, three viz Narse Gowda patel of Guttalana halli, Chik Muniappa of Bandemanahalli & Somanna of Vosettihalli have refused to execute a muchalika binding themselves to pay contribution (Annexure Nos 20, 21, & 22)

Before the report was called for, the contribution demanded was at the rate of 30 Rs per acre of dry land, 20 Rs per acre of Benjar land & 15 Rs per acre of wet or garden land & for the occupied area of the following villages

	occupied area		Amount of contribution demanded
	A	G	Rs - as - Ps
Vosettihalli	221	21	6189 - 12 - 0
Bandemanahalli	16	20	278 - 10 - 0
Bommanahalli (Govt)	300	6	8,314 - 2 - 0
Total	538 - 7		14782 - 8 - 0

Submitted to the Hon'ble Councillor with reference to his order on the Office note

Signed VRT

2-11-97

I have carefully studied the Dy Comm's proposals which appear to me well considered and very reasonable. He has shown the inapplicability of the rates of Contribution adopted in regard to Hoskhali and Rampur channels in the Nanjangud Taluk. The difference in the market rates of Sale is taken at Rs 25 p. acre, and the rates deduced therefrom according to the soil and the quality of the land vary from 25 Rupees It may not perhaps be necessary to adopt 5 different rates and hitch a full five rupees three

P.T.O.

Kolar Dy Commr No
1377
389

rates of 25, 20
& 15 Rupees may
be ~~adopted~~ levied
The Dy Comr's
proposal to apply
the lower rate of
15 Rs to Bommanahalli
may also be allowed.
The Dy Comr recommends
even a lower rate
of ~~Rs~~ 12 Rs
for this village, but
~~in that case~~ ~~the~~ ~~Govt~~
~~will~~ ~~not~~ ~~receive~~ ~~any~~
& it may be left
to the Dy Comr to
resort to this rate
only where he is
satisfied that a
higher rate will
cause real hardship.
Now that the
rates have been
reduced I hope that
there will be no
difficulty in collecting
the arrears.
It seems to me
that it will be

rates factory alike
to the ~~Agents~~ land
holders & so for
if the lands are
at once classified
by the Survey staff
& the present temporary
rates are revised -
~~The~~ The Supdt
of Agriculture will
be asked to give
his attention to this
suggestion.

The villagers of
Bommenhalli complain
that a uniform
rate of ~~8 as~~ 4 as
for water cess works
hardship, and
have regard to
the description given
by the dry cond
of the poor quality
of the soil in this
village it cannot
be said that this
complaint is un
founded - The Supdt
may also revise these
rates according to the
classification of the
land.

(ii)

21/12/99

1/11/97

(9)

Note for the file

As regards the query paras please see para 9 of the Sy Comm's letter & it be seen that the water-rate could not be reduced below Rs 4, because the difference between wet & dry rate or classification was always greater than Rs 4. Hence the revision review by the SO on 7/6/98 would have if carried out have been prejudicial to the interests & hence the 4 rupee rate seems to have been retained

26/7/07

(10)

The Survey Supt's reply should be obtained on the question. Please ask him whether any ~~action~~ measures was ~~taken~~ under S.P. referred to & with what result.

~~If not~~

27/7

Docket

~~If so docket~~ 29/7 27/7/09

Supt's Letter with the Supt's reply Answered

It will be seen from this that the information given by the Surveyor is based on the Harbours sent by the Surv. Supt. 9/8/09

I think the petrs

Dear Peter & Jacqueline

I am writing to let you know that I have received your letter dated 19th July 2000 and have noted its contents.

19/7/2000

No 20/7/00 Dear Mr and Mrs [illegible]

I am sorry to hear that you have been unwell

20/7/00.

I think that Mr Jones may be informed that no further Concession can be shown.

Sir

To the Secretary
Commissioner

R
16/8/07
4.23 pm

Mr Monaghan understands this question about putting on wet tickets now. Mr Jones does. In the first place how was the Board to know the rate. The Rate for the rate cut 2 years previous for irrigation purposes & quite rightly so the next place I think Mr Jones would say the Classified difference between dry & wet grazing

(1)

Gen. Serial No. 32.]

1895

(13)

Subd. to the Revenue

11/5

To prepare order

Draft

13/5/95

Drafted

SKR 14/5

L R 78-94-1

No. 2906

Name of Petitioner. Rudrappa and others Ryots of

Village. Ozellihalli, Bandommanahalli & Kolam-a

Taluk. Chickballapur

District. Kolar

Date of Arzi. 19-4-95

Date of Receipt. 2-5-95

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. Nil

ABSTRACT.

State that though there are no tanks at-tached to the village yet they are raising wet and garden crops by the water of the Talparji nala drawn from the Dom-Pennar - that now a channel has been completed from Sluice No. 2 of Somavara tank lately constructed by throwing a dam across the Northern Pennar with a view to supply water to their wet, garden & dry lands - that the Taluk authorities are preparing to levy contribution on lands that are to be supplied with water by the above channel at Rs. 30 per one acre of dry lands and at Rs. 15 per one acre of wet and garden lands - that each petitioner has 6 to 15 acres of dry lands in the his holding - that at the above rate each petitioner will have to pay a contribution from Rs. 240 to 450/- - that they cannot

afford to pay this as they are now in a distressed
circumstance - that since they have been
utilising the river water for their wet and
garden lands and since they are to take now
the tank water for them in lieu of it - it does
not amount to taking this water afresh -
that consequently the contributions proposed
to be levied on them should be remitted or stopped.
that on seeing their dry lands which are to be supplied
with water from the above channel they seem to be
on a higher level than the Raja Kalve - that they
should water all the lands which the Govt have proposed
to supply with water could be supplied by the Raja Kalve - and
that from judging from the nature of the soil of the lands
proposed to be supplied with water the amount of contributions
now fixed seems to be very high - Prays that order may be issued
to levy from a reasonable amount of compensations only on
such of their dry lands that could be supplied with water
and also not to collect any contributions on their wet and garden
lands since they were taking the same water to them previous
to the construction of the tank -

1/5.

an argument
— by being
as water rate

20/8/09

Regd — 1st Council

Para 1 of Rule XX
of the Land Rev Rules applies
only to lands in unsettled
talukhs. Moreover no 4
seems to have been fixed, as
the land in this case lies
contiguous across the
Penner River

Under para 2 of Rule XIX
wet-rate on settled talukhs
Land to be fixed with reference
to the wet-assessment of
neighbouring wet-lands

If necessary, a further report
will be called for from the
Asst Collr

21/8/09

This is no argument
for the matter of the
important land is across
a stream which ordinarily
dries up a river.

I foresee the necessity
for a reference to the Sur
of survey. Surveyed & u
Surveyed lands can clai
what are called "Pulan
lands. See Rule 33
a good guide. Pleas
order the reduction of
rate to 2-0- as the la
is per Inam Fair.

24/8/09

Contains with the Clause
Contained in the Agreement
dated the 30th day of
March 1896

The difficulty of ascertainment
between Owners of Land Adjoining
a Street the centre of which
cannot be ascertained from any
Plan will be obviated by
Clause contained in the Agreement
dated the 30th day of
March 1896

This Indenture between the Mayor
Councillors & Burgesses of the Borough
of Hastings of the one part and
of the other part made the
day of 189
in pursuance of the Public Health Act 1875
and of all other powers and authorities
enabling them in that behalf

ಅಲ್ಲಿಂದ ಮುಂದೆ ಸಾಗಿ ಬಲಕ್ಕೆ ತಿರುಗಿ ಮುಂದೆ

ಅಲ್ಲಿಂದ ಮುಂದೆ ಸಾಗಿ ಬಲಕ್ಕೆ ತಿರುಗಿ ಮುಂದೆ

ಸಾಗಿ ಎಡಕ್ಕೆ ತಿರುಗಿ ಮುಂದೆ ಸಾಗಿ

ಅಲ್ಲಿಂದ ಮುಂದೆ ಸಾಗಿ ಬಲಕ್ಕೆ ತಿರುಗಿ ಮುಂದೆ ಸಾಗಿ ಎಡಕ್ಕೆ ತಿರುಗಿ

Pet. 1652 (2)

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Petition Registered No. 2,276 dated [illegible] received at the Dewan's Office from Ramappa [illegible] of the [illegible] and other villages [illegible] praying [illegible] may be exempted from payment [illegible] is forwarded, together with its enclosure, to the Deputy Commissioner of the Kolar District for disposal.

By order,

15/5/95

Chief Secretary.

BANGALORE,
23-5-1895

M.G.C.P.E.—28-4-95—500

1896 (3)

No 1647 R.

Name of Petitioners. Ryots.

Village. Tellakalli &c.

Taluk. Chickballapur.

District. Kolar.

Date of Arzi.

Date of receipt 27th November 1896

Date of disposal.

No of enclosure Nil.

Abstract.

Petitioners state that a tank called Ramivadadagu Kaluvin constructed at Kotagarhalli, and a channel therefrom has been lately opened by the D. C. H., with a view to irrigate the lands at Ramasamudrahalli, and Tellakalli, from the channel at Alignment No 2.

2. That prior to the construction of the said tank, the Petitioners and their Ramasamudrahalli land for the Petitioners and asked them if they would to have the tank constructed at the place wherein it now stands: That the Petitioners in reply, stated that in case the tank was constructed by the

Received 28/11/96

Mr Lewis

Mr Lewis
forwards
with previous
Pets.

Petrs pray that
the sale of their
lands fixed for
the 30th inst.
may be stayed.

H
28/11/96

Pl. submit Order as to Stay

28/11/96.

Rec'd 2.45 PM
28/11/96

Mr Lewis
The land Petrs to
stay for 1 month before
with the Dy Comr action
in due course of sale
Mint notice. Pleader
Petrs represented their
case to the Dy Comr
in person. Pleader
accompanied Petrs
to General Court. The sale
will be postponed & the
Dy Comr asked to
send details

Mint Lewis
28.11.96

the government, they would
be glad to have all their
dry lands irrigated from
the tank water; and to pay
such assessment thereon
that as the Tahsildar wanted
the petitioners to state
the above in writing,
they did so; that the Petitioners
neither gave a statement
consenting to pay contri-
bution, nor had a petition
addressed to him about it.

3. That the authorities now
demand from the Petitioners
each and Rs. 2 an acre
for irrigated lands together with a
contribution of Rs. 15 per acre,
equally on irrigated and
unirrigated lands.

Contribution at the rate of
Rs. 30/- for dry lands, whether
irrigated or not; and
of Rs. 15 per acre for
wet & garden lands.

4. That the dry lands under
allusion, are sandy, and
inconsequence it is found
rather difficult to raise dry crops
and as for such wet crops there
cannot be sufficient water to the land
contemplated: That the petitioners
cannot therefore, see the
justice of having a wet
Candayam of Rs. 30 per acre:
That the lands have been
generally, irrigated from
the river channels and the Petitioners have incurred
a Land outlay of Rupees 350
to 400: That the Petitioners
have not made use of the
tank water in irrigating

Their

the Govt. Litigation and that he be glad of whatever and how much dry lands have not yet been liquidated by the Govt. as it is still in arrears.

(23)

iii. That if the Petitioners were forced to pay such assessment together with contribution, for their dry lands, they must plead their inability to do so.

iv. That the Petitioners pray that due consideration may be given as regards the quality of their dry lands, and that the present high rate of contribution may be reduced, and that the petitioners may be permitted to pay the same at Rs. 500 per annum; and that the wet and Bayal Cultivation carried on their dry lands which are irrigated from the River water, may be remitted.

a Tumr. Vlgs 7500 has been 2 Villages fixed

v. That the Petitioners had submitted a petition to this office on 19.4.95, and they in reply, have been favoured with an indorsement No. 2906 of 19.5.95, informing that their petition has been forwarded to the deputy

Comm.

Commissioner, for disposal.

12. That on receipt of the said Recommendation from this office, the Petitioners addressed two Petitions dated 29.4.95, & 14.9.95, respectively, to the Commissioner Kolar, but received no reply.

13. That without any enquiry into the Complt of the Petitioners, the Tehsildar has given a proclamation & stating that their lands will be sold by Public auction on the 30th Inst.

14. That on 24.11.96, the Petitioners addressed a petition to the Tehsildar praying to stop the sale pending their application to this office in the matter and receipt of orders; but the Tehsildar has in reply, refused to stop the sale & which is to come off in about 4 days hence.

15. That it is for this Honorable Court to consider as how the Petitioners can pay and carry on when they have been [illegible] for want of rains, 6 dry crops - and the Petitioners have been thereby, subject

8

to great hardship.

16. That the Petitioners may further, that order may be given to pay contribution some two or three years hence, and that time may be given them to pay the same; and that order may also be given to stop the sale of their lands.

RECEIVED
OFFICE OF THE DEWAN'S OFFICE,
PETITION DEPARTMENT.
DATE

دستخط اسسٹنٹ کمشنر ۱۰۔۵۔۲۰۱۹ ڈی جی خان کیمپ آفس ڈیرہ غازی خان

ڈپٹی کمشنر ڈیرہ غازی خان کی عدالت میں

درخواست برائے اجرائے نقل فیصلہ مورخہ ۱۰۔۵۔۲۰۱۹ بجواب درخواست نمبر ۱۱۲۳/ن مورخہ ۱۰۔۵۔۲۰۱۹

11

درخواست گزار کی جانب سے ایڈووکیٹ سید ذوالفقار حسین بخاری پیش ہوئے۔ مختار نامہ دائر کیا۔ درخواست گزار کے وکیل نے عدالت کو بتایا کہ فیصلہ مورخہ ۱۰۔۵۔۲۰۱۹ سنایا گیا تھا اور درخواست گزار کو فیصلے کی نقل درکار ہے۔ عدالت نے درخواست منظور کرتے ہوئے حکم دیا کہ نقل فیصلہ فی صفحہ ۱۰۰/- روپے کے حساب سے وصول کر کے جاری کی جائے۔ نقل تیار ہونے پر درخواست گزار کو اطلاع دی جائے۔

دستخط ڈپٹی کمشنر ۱۰۔۵۔۲۰۱۹

10

عدالت ڈپٹی کمشنر ڈیرہ غازی خان

درخواست برائے اجرائے نقل فیصلہ مورخہ ۱۰۔۵۔۲۰۱۹ بجواب درخواست نمبر ۱۱۲۳/ن مورخہ ۱۰۔۵۔۲۰۱۹

درخواست گزار کی جانب سے ایڈووکیٹ سید ذوالفقار حسین بخاری پیش ہوئے۔ مختار نامہ دائر کیا۔ درخواست گزار کے وکیل نے عدالت کو بتایا کہ فیصلہ مورخہ ۱۰۔۵۔۲۰۱۹ سنایا گیا تھا اور درخواست گزار کو فیصلے کی نقل درکار ہے۔ عدالت نے درخواست منظور کرتے ہوئے حکم دیا کہ نقل فیصلہ فی صفحہ ۱۰۰/- روپے کے حساب سے وصول کر کے جاری کی جائے۔ نقل تیار ہونے پر درخواست گزار کو اطلاع دی جائے۔

دستخط ڈپٹی کمشنر ۱۰۔۵۔۲۰۱۹

9

عدالت ڈپٹی کمشنر ڈیرہ غازی خان

درخواست برائے اجرائے نقل فیصلہ مورخہ ۱۰۔۵۔۲۰۱۹ بجواب درخواست نمبر ۱۱۲۳/ن مورخہ ۱۰۔۵۔۲۰۱۹

درخواست گزار کی جانب سے ایڈووکیٹ سید ذوالفقار حسین بخاری پیش ہوئے۔ مختار نامہ دائر کیا۔ درخواست گزار کے وکیل نے عدالت کو بتایا کہ فیصلہ مورخہ ۱۰۔۵۔۲۰۱۹ سنایا گیا تھا اور درخواست گزار کو فیصلے کی نقل درکار ہے۔ عدالت نے درخواست منظور کرتے ہوئے حکم دیا کہ نقل فیصلہ فی صفحہ ۱۰۰/- روپے کے حساب سے وصول کر کے جاری کی جائے۔ نقل تیار ہونے پر درخواست گزار کو اطلاع دی جائے۔

دستخط ڈپٹی کمشنر ۱۰۔۵۔۲۰۱۹

8

عدالت ڈپٹی کمشنر ڈیرہ غازی خان

درخواست برائے اجرائے نقل فیصلہ مورخہ ۱۰۔۵۔۲۰۱۹ بجواب درخواست نمبر ۱۱۲۳/ن مورخہ ۱۰۔۵۔۲۰۱۹

درخواست گزار کی جانب سے ایڈووکیٹ سید ذوالفقار حسین بخاری پیش ہوئے۔ مختار نامہ دائر کیا۔ درخواست گزار کے وکیل نے عدالت کو بتایا کہ فیصلہ مورخہ ۱۰۔۵۔۲۰۱۹ سنایا گیا تھا اور درخواست گزار کو فیصلے کی نقل درکار ہے۔ عدالت نے درخواست منظور کرتے ہوئے حکم دیا کہ نقل فیصلہ فی صفحہ ۱۰۰/- روپے کے حساب سے وصول کر کے جاری کی جائے۔ نقل تیار ہونے پر درخواست گزار کو اطلاع دی جائے۔

دستخط ڈپٹی کمشنر ۱۰۔۵۔۲۰۱۹

7

عدالت ڈپٹی کمشنر ڈیرہ غازی خان

درخواست برائے اجرائے نقل فیصلہ مورخہ ۱۰۔۵۔۲۰۱۹ بجواب درخواست نمبر ۱۱۲۳/ن مورخہ ۱۰۔۵۔۲۰۱۹

درخواست گزار کی جانب سے ایڈووکیٹ سید ذوالفقار حسین بخاری پیش ہوئے۔ مختار نامہ دائر کیا۔ درخواست گزار کے وکیل نے عدالت کو بتایا کہ فیصلہ مورخہ ۱۰۔۵۔۲۰۱۹ سنایا گیا تھا اور درخواست گزار کو فیصلے کی نقل درکار ہے۔ عدالت نے درخواست منظور کرتے ہوئے حکم دیا کہ نقل فیصلہ فی صفحہ ۱۰۰/- روپے کے حساب سے وصول کر کے جاری کی جائے۔ نقل تیار ہونے پر درخواست گزار کو اطلاع دی جائے۔

دستخط ڈپٹی کمشنر ۱۰۔۵۔۲۰۱۹

6

عدالت ڈپٹی کمشنر ڈیرہ غازی خان

درخواست برائے اجرائے نقل فیصلہ مورخہ ۱۰۔۵۔۲۰۱۹ بجواب درخواست نمبر ۱۱۲۳/ن مورخہ ۱۰۔۵۔۲۰۱۹

درخواست گزار کی جانب سے ایڈووکیٹ سید ذوالفقار حسین بخاری پیش ہوئے۔ مختار نامہ دائر کیا۔ درخواست گزار کے وکیل نے عدالت کو بتایا کہ فیصلہ مورخہ ۱۰۔۵۔۲۰۱۹ سنایا گیا تھا اور درخواست گزار کو فیصلے کی نقل درکار ہے۔ عدالت نے درخواست منظور کرتے ہوئے حکم دیا کہ نقل فیصلہ فی صفحہ ۱۰۰/- روپے کے حساب سے وصول کر کے جاری کی جائے۔ نقل تیار ہونے پر درخواست گزار کو اطلاع دی جائے۔

دستخط ڈپٹی کمشنر ۱۰۔۵۔۲۰۱۹

5

عدالت ڈپٹی کمشنر ڈیرہ غازی خان

درخواست برائے اجرائے نقل فیصلہ مورخہ ۱۰۔۵۔۲۰۱۹ بجواب درخواست نمبر ۱۱۲۳/ن مورخہ ۱۰۔۵۔۲۰۱۹

درخواست گزار کی جانب سے ایڈووکیٹ سید ذوالفقار حسین بخاری پیش ہوئے۔ مختار نامہ دائر کیا۔ درخواست گزار کے وکیل نے عدالت کو بتایا کہ فیصلہ مورخہ ۱۰۔۵۔۲۰۱۹ سنایا گیا تھا اور درخواست گزار کو فیصلے کی نقل درکار ہے۔ عدالت نے درخواست منظور کرتے ہوئے حکم دیا کہ نقل فیصلہ فی صفحہ ۱۰۰/- روپے کے حساب سے وصول کر کے جاری کی جائے۔ نقل تیار ہونے پر درخواست گزار کو اطلاع دی جائے۔

دستخط ڈپٹی کمشنر ۱۰۔۵۔۲۰۱۹

4

عدالت ڈپٹی کمشنر ڈیرہ غازی خان

درخواست برائے اجرائے نقل فیصلہ مورخہ ۱۰۔۵۔۲۰۱۹ بجواب درخواست نمبر ۱۱۲۳/ن مورخہ ۱۰۔۵۔۲۰۱۹

درخواست گزار کی جانب سے ایڈووکیٹ سید ذوالفقار حسین بخاری پیش ہوئے۔ مختار نامہ دائر کیا۔ درخواست گزار کے وکیل نے عدالت کو بتایا کہ فیصلہ مورخہ ۱۰۔۵۔۲۰۱۹ سنایا گیا تھا اور درخواست گزار کو فیصلے کی نقل درکار ہے۔ عدالت نے درخواست منظور کرتے ہوئے حکم دیا کہ نقل فیصلہ فی صفحہ ۱۰۰/- روپے کے حساب سے وصول کر کے جاری کی جائے۔ نقل تیار ہونے پر درخواست گزار کو اطلاع دی جائے۔

دستخط ڈپٹی کمشنر ۱۰۔۵۔۲۰۱۹

3

عدالت ڈپٹی کمشنر ڈیرہ غازی خان

درخواست برائے اجرائے نقل فیصلہ مورخہ ۱۰۔۵۔۲۰۱۹ بجواب درخواست نمبر ۱۱۲۳/ن مورخہ ۱۰۔۵۔۲۰۱۹

درخواست گزار کی جانب سے ایڈووکیٹ سید ذوالفقار حسین بخاری پیش ہوئے۔ مختار نامہ دائر کیا۔ درخواست گزار کے وکیل نے عدالت کو بتایا کہ فیصلہ مورخہ ۱۰۔۵۔۲۰۱۹ سنایا گیا تھا اور درخواست گزار کو فیصلے کی نقل درکار ہے۔ عدالت نے درخواست منظور کرتے ہوئے حکم دیا کہ نقل فیصلہ فی صفحہ ۱۰۰/- روپے کے حساب سے وصول کر کے جاری کی جائے۔ نقل تیار ہونے پر درخواست گزار کو اطلاع دی جائے۔

دستخط ڈپٹی کمشنر ۱۰۔۵۔۲۰۱۹

2

عدالت ڈپٹی کمشنر ڈیرہ غازی خان

درخواست برائے اجرائے نقل فیصلہ مورخہ ۱۰۔۵۔۲۰۱۹ بجواب درخواست نمبر ۱۱۲۳/ن مورخہ ۱۰۔۵۔۲۰۱۹

درخواست گزار کی جانب سے ایڈووکیٹ سید ذوالفقار حسین بخاری پیش ہوئے۔ مختار نامہ دائر کیا۔ درخواست گزار کے وکیل نے عدالت کو بتایا کہ فیصلہ مورخہ ۱۰۔۵۔۲۰۱۹ سنایا گیا تھا اور درخواست گزار کو فیصلے کی نقل درکار ہے۔ عدالت نے درخواست منظور کرتے ہوئے حکم دیا کہ نقل فیصلہ فی صفحہ ۱۰۰/- روپے کے حساب سے وصول کر کے جاری کی جائے۔ نقل تیار ہونے پر درخواست گزار کو اطلاع دی جائے۔

دستخط ڈپٹی کمشنر ۱۰۔۵۔۲۰۱۹

1

13

14

15

16

Lot 786

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.

PETITION DEPARTMENT. (4)

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Appeal Petition

Registered No. 647 dated 27-11-95

received at the Dewan's Office from

is forwarded, ~~together with its enclosure~~, to the Deputy Commissioner

of [illegible] District

for submission of ~~the entire proceedings connected with the case.~~

~~2. The petition with its enclosure should be returned.~~

~~3. The petitioner~~

By order,

28/11/95

BANGALORE,

189

For ~~Chief~~ Secretary.

M.G.C.P.E.—25-4-95—1,000

1648

(b)

Bangalore

21st December 1896.

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.
PETITION DEPARTMENT.
REG'D. NO. 1648
DATE 28/11/96

The Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore
Bangalore.

Most Honoured Sir,

We the undersigned ryots of Postie Kaly and Bandammanakalli building lands below the tank of Seeniwasa Saga in the Chickaballapur Taluk most respectfully beg to invite your kind attention to the several petitions submitted to government on dates as per margin on the subject of contribution payable by us for the lands below the said tank. The facts relating to our case are so fully detailed in the said petitions that any repitition of them here seems unnecessary. We beg, however, to bring prominently to your honour's kind notice that the acreage contribution of Rupees 30 is too heavy for the small benefit we derive from the use of the tank water. The contribution has been levied even on lands to which the water of the tank does not reach. We have spent lot of money in cutting channels

19-4-95
27-11-96

from

from the river into our lands for which a contribution of 15 Rupees an acre is charged. We therefore beg that orders may be issued for the levy of contribution in proportion to the benefit derived by each ryot, and to recover the amount in such annual instalments as could be paid by us without much hardship, taking into consideration that we have to pay a high rate of assessment on the lands, namely at 7 Rupees an acre. We further beg to state that owing to the entire failure of dry crops this year we are much in distress and are not able to pay assessment on our dry lands.

In spite of our several petitions to the Deputy Commissioner it has been notified to sell the lands owing to our default to pay the money and as we hear that the date fixed is the 30th of this month, We humbly beg that pending Government decision, an order may be given to the Deputy Commissioner by telegram to defer the sale of these lands in question which, we are given to understand, will be sold mainly for the advantage of some well to do and influential

persons.

persons in Chickballapur Town.

For this kind act of generosity in saving us and our families, consisting of more than 500 Souls, from our present difficulties, we shall ever feel thankful.

We beg to Remain

Honored Sir,

Your most obedient and humble Servants.

(7)

Reg. Serial No. 31.]

1897

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
Gl. No. 1897
8 SEP 97
DEWAN'S OFF

Rev. Branch

Please put Mets 28-11-96
786
and connected papers which
27-5-97 Gl. No. 8097
were put up with R 5372
from H. Kolar No 4680/1077 dt 27/5/97.
& also other reps contained
in the letter.

AK
10/9/97.

Put up Br

References quoted in paras 2 & 3
are to Mets Gl. No. 1496-96 and not
other References which appear
to have been made to Mets
Secy on 29/7/97 vide Colombo
Commrs No 283 21/8/97 re...
Gl. No 1823 dt 29-8-97 Govt. Secretary.
Pl. 13/9

Rd Mets
AK
14/9.

Submitted
AK
14/9/97

The report cannot be disposed of without having the petn on wh the report was called for. It may be placed before the Under-Secretary

My 15/9/97

Rec'd I have since withdrawn the file. Please see & send the file with petn & Rep Br

My 15/9/97

Put up Br
References perused.

AK
16/9. Rd Mets
AK
16/9/97.

Submitted to 2nd Counsellor
AK
16/9/97

Rd 6.30 pm
21.9.97

From The Deputy Commissioner
Batticaloa

To Secretary to the Government
of Ceylon Revenue Secretariat

Dated 3 of August 1897

Despatched 6-9-97

Received

Enclosures No. Petn Reports &

General No. 3558
No. 231

Reporting on the petition from
Kumara Kamanna Kudiyan
and others of Paddiruppu &
Villages Chavakacheri Police
Area regarding the question
of Contribution to the Road
Cess under the Ordinance
No Tamil

Put up Br
8/9/97

Byculla Commissariat Office
Bombay
dated 31st August 1857

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Bombay
Military Department
Bombay.

Sir, I have the honor to forward
official Memorandum No. 1265
dated 23rd August 1857 from
Major Jervis Commanding Poona
Division & Political Superintendent
Deccan, & Colonel Outram Resident
Kolapore, & have the honor to
submit the following report
on the question of Contributions
to the Civil Funds under the
Division Superintendence, Collection
Collection Establishment:—

2. The Deccan is a large province

mark

(5)

was bridges constructed across the North Penner River near Polagunda-halli in the Chikballapur Taluk at a cost of about Rupees 1,53,100 and was completed in 1894-95.—

3. Preliminary enquiries and statements were obtained from the available ryots in August 1888 for the payment of a cess contribution; but the Amildar of Bommanahalli made different statements at different times as follows:—

Vide statement dated 6.8.1888 (1) On March 1888 they promised to pay for contribution some amount within their means.—

Vide statement dated 6.8.1888 (2) On June 1888 they offered to pay Rupees 34 as contribution for their whole Taluk.

Vide statement dated 6.8.1888 (3) On August 1888 when they were informed by the Amildar that their offer could not be accepted they promised to pay amount fixed by government and named Yerende a Muslaika as a stamp paper next day.—

Vide petition dated 9.8.1888 (4) Three days after they addressed a petition to the Amildar representing their inability to realise

a muchalika binding themselves to pay the amount at the fixed rates

4. These rates were apparently settled in accordance with those ordered to be levied in the case of lands under Ramsur-Channel (Mysore District) in Dewan's Proceedings No 618 dated 18th September 1886:—

5. The Tank has been provided with 2 sluices but only one (7 ft 6 in sluice) has been opened out for irrigation and the minor sluice is filled.

The D.P.P. also marked out the lands likely to be converted into wet under this sluice. The total occupied area irrigable within the Contour marked by the D.P.P. as since measured by the surveyor is detailed below:—

Name of Villages	Dry:- area		Contribution at 20 Rs per acre		Wet area		Contribution at Rs 15 per acre		Garden area		Contribution at Rs 15 per acre		Total area		Contribution amount	
	a	f.	Rs	a	a	f.	Rs	a	a	f	Rs	as	a	f	Rs	as
Pashettihalli	191	5	5733	12	19	10	288	12	11	6	167	4	221	21	6189	12
Bandammanahally	2	3	62	4	12	18	186	12	1	39	29	10	16	20	278	10
Total	1938		5796	.	31	28	475	8	13	5	196	14	238	1	6469	6
Jodi Bommanahally	254	5	7623	12	8	34	132	12	37	7	557	10	300	6	8314	2
Grand Total	447	12	13419	12	40	22	608	4	50	12	754	8	538	7	14782	8

6. The holders of these lands are required from time to time to pay the amount of contribution but they remain and principal their chief objections are:-

(a) That the rate of contribution fixed on any land was heavier

(b) That the imposition of contribution on wet and garden lands which were already under irrigation from channels drawn off from the river was not justifiable.-

(c) That portions of any lands which are not irrigable are also charged with contribution.-

Rec. No. 4 617 dated 29.8.83 2867 — Three petitions were forwarded for disposal to the last Division Officers, called upon the Guides for particulars of:

(1) Lands actually irrigated (by the channels)
(2) Lands likely to be irrigated)
(3) Lands that could not be irrigated owing to higher levels.-

The statistics furnished by the Subah Authorities not being considered sufficient to show that there was not enough contribution. The particulars are given below in detail

A detailed Statement exhibiting the demand Realized from the Records.

Particulars.-

	Rice				Wheat				Cotton				Total			
	Area		Amount of Irrigation		Area		Amount of Irrigation		Area		Amount of Irrigation		Area		Amount of Irrigation	
	a	f	c	a	a	f	c	a	a	f	c	a	a	f	c	a
Gov. actually irrigated	10	22	324	1	3	29	47	9	3	5	30	2	55	25	399	0
Calculated irrigation	5	38	505	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	05	38	505	8
For Capable of irrigation	30	18	93	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	60	18	93	8
Total	98	8	922	"	3	29	47	9	3	5	30	2	238	1	997	0
Jodi actually irrigated	44	27	550	4	8	34	132	12	30	7	320	0	180	35	992	0
Calculated irrigation	70	"	200	4	"	"	"	"	"	7	2	0	70	8	202	4
For Capable of irrigation	140	"	200	4	"	"	"	"		23	8	0	140	30	208	4
Total	284	8	938	12	8	34	132	12	37	7	330	0	390	0	3314	2
Grand Total	382	16	1860	12	11	23	179	21	40	12	360	2	528	1	4306	3
Total actually irrigated	252	0	874	4	43	23	179	4	43	22	350	4	339	23	1403	12
Calculated irrigation	122	8	1005	12	"	"	"	"	"	7	2	0	122	8	1007	0
For Capable of irrigation	140	23	980	12	"	"	"	"	"	23	8	0	140	30	988	0

Rs 2595-2-3
Rs 2187-6-0
Rs 1418-8-3

Put up

2. In reply to a Circular from Secretary to the Govt. dated 20-9-1899 — Rates specified for Cultivation and Water Rate, the Revenue Commissioner in charge of the Division by letter No 2020 dated the 8th February 1900 stated as follows:-

"The rate of Cultivation specified are Rs 50 per acre of Dry land, Rupees 20 per acre of Rayat-land and Rupees 10 per acre of wet land. It is not known on what data these rates which appear to have been fixed by the Amildar in 1884 are

are based entirely correspond-
with the ratio ordered to be levied in the
case of lands under Canyon Channel
in the Annual Proceedings dated
18th September 1934. There is however
no land according to the description
of Canyon under the said in question

The Deputy Commissioner at the
same time intimated to the said Dy
Officer that it was not necessary
to make any attention in the said
already. Pointed and state that they
should file an Affidavit in the manner
prescribed, Constitution

D. The Secretary, Addl. Land
Collector & the Deputy Commissioner
Kheri Upto. 3rd Tehsildar, Sitapur to the
Civil Engineer, forwarded for early
and necessary action a copy of
the Superintending Engineer, Hydel Circle
Kheri (Civil Engineer) Hydel Circle
Kheri. 3rd) urging early attainment
Acreage Contribution. Last made ref-
ference to the case that had eventually
10. In the letter under Cond Ltr
no attention to the demands for pay-
ment of Contribution but was kept
on addressing letters complaining
of the rates and plans & estimates
and forwarding to the same
the land were [illegible]

& Comm to Dy
Dy. 4-2-40.

Secretary to
Supt Engr 20.4.40.
& Dy

11 They again advanced a further
to Government, contending:-
(1) That the rate of contribution
was high:-
(2) That Contribution should not be
levied on net assessed lands
(3) That portions of any lands which
could not be irrigated were liable
under Contribution
(4) That the Contribution had been
fixed according to lands that were to
be benefited thereafter under irrigation
(5) That they were unable to pay the
Contribution:-
(6) That the value of their lands was
not sufficiently considered in the said inquiry
(7) And that time might be granted for
payment of the amount after revising
the rates.

12 Upon this the Government ordered the
Collector to inquire and collect from de-
-tailed reports & statistics thereof in
form & manner therein provided

13 Upon the Collector's report so
obtained it will be seen
that out of 1,000,000 acres of lands of
Crown in Ramanathapuram and Tinnevelly
districts 3,00,000 acres have applied for
permission to Government and out of the
said 3,00,000 acres 2,00,000 acres have applied
the

the Muchalika drawn upon the 7th and 8th August 1898 before the then auditor Mr Ramasamy Ayyar agreeing to pay contribution as per rates mentioned in para 3 the extent of the lands held by them is A. G. 238-1 as per margin

1 Kattikalli	356	221	139	5	57	35	191	3	30	18
2 Kandanmanakalli	3	16	16	20	"	"	16	20	30	18
Total -	59	238	155	25	51	38	207	23	30	18

14. I have twice inspected the Tank, some portions of the main and subsidiary channels and a part of the lands. I have also discussed the facts with the Sub Divisional officer, Assistant Engineer and auditor of Chickballapur, all of whom have taken great trouble to investigate the subject

15 In the year after the completion of the Tank in 1894-95 the sluices had not been properly completed and the ryots were in consequence permitted to take water freely by making openings in the channel bund. The ryots were able to water their fields copiously and without stint and most of them got a good crop. But in the Inam Village of Kommanahalli the crops were middling owing to lands being new and inferior and to want of manure &c.

16 In the following year also, the ryots took water in the same way though told not to do so; the lands near the head of the channel had plenty of water and gave a good crop. But those lower down were not able to get as good a supply and yielded but a poor out-turn. Last year also it was the same

and perhaps a little more owing to (45)
want of timely rain though there was
ample water in the Tank.

17 The ryots however gave great trouble
even in paying the Kandayam and
put off payment questioning the fairness
of the water rates and denying their liability
to pay such rates on lands which they
failed to cultivate with wet crops. Even
resort to coercive and other measures all
arrears were paid up.-

18. I shall now proceed to examine
the grounds of complaint advanced by
the petitioners. The lands irrigated by this
sluice belong to the Villages of Bandammana-
halli, Packettihalli both Circar Villages and
Bommenahalli Jodi Village belonging to
Chickballapur Talook and Inam Kana-
jana Koppa of the Goribedanur Talook.
The ryots of the last named Village (1)
have not come forward to complain
owing probably to no steps having been
taken to collect the contribution. The
lands of Bandemmanahalli measuring
about 16 acres are very well affended,
commanding a permanent supply
of water and yielding two crops every (2)
year and the owners have no ground
for complaint though they have joined
the General outcry.-

19 In Packettihalli an extent of (3)
about 38 acres sold as kharab are
not liable to pay any Contribution

A

Sir, I give this Evidence, the Evidence
in the Case are useless in reality
and do not seem to explain matters
at all. I prefer to take the
Case alone as I find it, & to
express the Decision I arrive at
upon it, for your consideration
& decision in the light I regard
as the true interpretation of the
Law in this Case:—

Sir. In the matter of Capitly Stanley
for irrigation, I have the honor to state
that I should not have left Lade
and was in Conference Booked and
Cited up Lytle unfortunately that the
Conference was that the Parties was
were then invited in the Beginning "to the
Channel and failed Conversation. The
irrigation Ditch & Reservoir also were
to Jointly in Construction and Lytle
had the permitted to Irrigation
in the Channel until to Take water.
In this way the Claim to water for Land
Lieu different Years Evidence much
discrepant, I generally reminded Construc-
tion were entitled to the Channel until
Claims were Settled, Evidence and the
Place to Right to receive after Claims will
be put to Court. I that Instructed in
Difficulty in Evidence in Reference
Right of water for the Land in Question

24.

22. Nothing in this Section shall affect the rights of any person who may be lawfully using any channel, ditch or drain for the purpose of irrigation, but any such person may be required to clean out, alter, straighten or deepen such channel, ditch or drain in such manner as the Commissioner may direct.

23. The rules prescribed by paragraph (a) of subsection (1) of section 132 of The Land Titles Act for fixing the rate of interest in the calculation of the amount payable to the owner of land taken by the Crown shall apply to the calculation of the amount payable to the owner of land taken by the Commissioner under this Act.

24. Where any land is taken by the Commissioner under this Act, the Commissioner may enter upon and take possession of the land at any time after the publication of the notice of intention to take the land, and the owner of the land shall forthwith deliver up possession of the land to the Commissioner.

25. Where any land is taken by the Commissioner under this Act, the Commissioner may enter upon and take possession of the land at any time after the publication of the notice of intention to take the land, and the owner of the land shall forthwith deliver up possession of the land to the Commissioner.

(68)

the difference between the market value of wet and dry lands in the neighbourhood. There are no such wet lands in the neighbourhood of this Tank. But from the prices fetched by Kharah and uncultivable lands which were sold at an average cost of about 70 Rupees, the value of acquired dry land converted into wet may be taken at about 100 Rupees. The dry lands are not worth more than 20 to 30 Rupees per acre thus leaving a margin of about 70 Rupees. I think therefore that as regards lands in Bandenahalli and Pachchikahalli of the 3 Classes of sandy, red and loamy soils three rates of 17½, 20-22½ and 25 respectively may be adopted as follows:-

1st Class	Loamy soil in good situation for irrigation ...	Rs 25--
2nd do -	not so well off	22½
2nd Class	Red soil 1st Class in having good water supply	22½
3rd do -	not equally well off ...	20
3rd Class	Sandy situated with bad irrigation	20
4th Class	Sandy lands with indifferent irrigation, ..	17½

For the wet and garden lands a uniform rate of 125 Rupees per acre

may

may be adopted

24- As regds the Jodi lands of Bammanahalli which are further off and the quality of which is also reported to be not good two rates of Rupees 15 and 12 may be adopted so in the above classification I recommend this specially low rate as from the commencement the Jodidars had expressed objections to pay contribution and as their lands are rather removed from the tank

25 The amildar has got detailed statements prepared of lands at present under irrigation, lands capable of being irrigated and lands which are above the bed of the channel and which were wrongly included by the D.P.W. within the wet Contour from which the following figures may be collected

Particulars	Area:- acres.	Guntas
Lands at present under irrigation	342	23
Lands capable of being irrigated	122	16
	464	39
3 Lands which are above the bed of the channel and which were wrongly included by the P.W.D. within the wet Contour	73.	8.

26. Except that for the purposes of this section the Kyoto Protocol shall be deemed to have come into force and to be binding on the Parties on the ninetieth day after the date on which not less than fifty Parties to the Convention have deposited their instruments of ratification, acceptance, approval or accession with the Depositary, but not before the deposit by the Parties referred to in subparagraph (a) above of their instruments of ratification, acceptance, approval or accession.

27. For the purposes of this section, except that the Kyoto Protocol has not come into force by 31st December 2012 and that a Party has made a declaration under subparagraph (b) above, such declaration shall be considered as a declaration made under paragraph 1 of Article 25 of the Convention.

28. Declarations and statements made under this section shall be in writing and shall be signed by the Minister of the Government of the Republic of Fiji responsible for Foreign Affairs.

Minister for Foreign Affairs

Minister for Foreign Affairs
4.9.97

(8)

To the

Read:-

Letter No 643 dated 29th December 1896 from Karimnagar Shanabhoga, Kadappa and others of Kothakalli and other villages in the Chikkaballapur Taluk requesting that they are required to pay a heavy contribution for their lands below the main tank of Srinivasa Sagara in the said Taluk.

Letter No 553/231 dated 31st August 1897 from the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar

forwarding on the petition of Shanabhoga and others of Kothakalli and other villages in the Chikkaballapur Taluk praying that the order passed by the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar

No 3278/A505 & 25.9.97.

To The Deputy Commissioner, Kolar.

The Dy Comm^r is requested to report on the following points:-

(1) Was the assessment of wet and garden lands revised after the construction of the tanks? If so, what were the rates before and after revision, if not what are the rates?

(2) What is the average rate (water rate) imposed for dry lands after this irrigation was extended to them?

The records are returned [illegible]

'Dft'

C2

2a The Dy Comm^r Kolar
Dist with records
for reference and re-
submission.

MK
25/9/17

(2)

2. Mr. Madhah there called for the opinion of the Sub-division officer and in accordance with recommendations ordered that the lands be assessed at Rs 9/- for garden, 7/Rs for wet Rs 4/(water rate) for lands of Jodi Bomm — These rates were accordingly fixed even in case of old wet and garden lands assessed lower rates.

Point No. 2 3. The dry lands that were converted into w by the extension of the irrigation have been with a uniform rate of 7 Rupees inclusive assessment which varied from Rs 1-6-0 to per acre; and the average water rate impos would thus come to Rs 5-5-0.

4. But for the lands irrigated by the Slui Jodi village of Bommanahalli, a unifos rate of Rs 4/- has been fixed. The Jodids object to pay the said rate in the Case of which were already under wet and garde -vation.

5. The records connected with the Case herewith resubmitted.

W Winchester
for Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

Comp. L.C.

Kolar-deputy Commissioner's office
dated 23rd October 1897

To,

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore.
General and Revenue department.

Sir,

Adverting to your docket No. 6278/1897-98 of the 20th ultimo calling for further particulars on the petition of Shamanna and others of Yerthihalli and other villages of the Chickballapur Taluq holding lands under Sreenivasa Sagara Tank, I have the honor to state as follows: —

Point 1st, ... Rates of the assessment were not revised by the Survey department when No. 2 Sluice of the tank was opened out for irrigation, a reference was made to the Survey department for revising and fixing the assessment on the lands coming under wet cultivation. The Survey Superintendent replied that it would be convenient to assess the lands after all the Sluices were opened out and that in the meantime assessment might be levied as a temporary measure at the prevailing rates. The wet and garden rates that prevailed in the neighbourhood before the opening out of the Sluice in question were as follows: —

Wet ranging from Rs 6 to 7-2-0
garden ranging from Rs 5 to 9-0-0.

(9)

Gen. 31.]

1897-98 Pt 215

1 2992

Held by Dr Commr. with ref. to the order on the Mem-note.

My 2/11/97

Rep. Sec. 6-11-97

From The Asst Commissioner Kolar District

To

The Secretary to the Govt of Mysore. General & Revenue Dept

Dated 23 October

Despatched 28 " "

Received

General No. 3777

No. 389

Enclosure No. 6 Records

Submits further particulars on the petition of Shamanna & Others of Korlatthalli and other villagers of the Chikballapur taluk —

I have carefully studied the various proposals which appear to me will considered unexceptionable. He has shown the inapplicability of (i) the rates of contribution adopted in regard to the Hoskhalli & Tamby Channels in the Bangarpet taluk. The difference in the standard rates taken at 75 per acre & the rates deduced therefrom according with soil capability of the land & vary from 25 annas. It may not be necessary to adopt five different rates & with a scale of four annas there

Continued in note p 5

Petition Rec'd 30/10/97

Rec'd 2/11 Submitted with reference 2/11

Submitted 2/11/97

Rough draft

Proceedings of the
Court of Wards

Re —

Petition No 1647 dated
27th November 1896, from
Shamanna and others
of Poselthalli and other villages
in the Chikballapur
Taluk, complaining
of the levy of a heavy
contribution on their
lands below the new
bund of Swinging Sagar
in the Chikballapur
Taluk.

Correspondence
ending with letter No
1377/3-9 dated 23rd October
1897, from the Deputy
Commr Kolar District
reporting on the above
and recommending the
reduction of the original
rates

rates from Rs 30 to five different rates ranging from Rs 25 to 17½ per acre on the dry lands of Poolakallu and Bandemeenahalli; and rates Rs 15 to 12½ per acre on such or garden lands and the levy of these rates of Rs 15 and 12 on the lands of Jodi Bommena-halli village.

order issued 6091-28 dt 7.1.98 P
P. 827

have carefully studied

Govt have perused the proposals of the Deputy Comm which appears to be very reasonable, ~~and~~ The Dy Comm has shown well considered

~~disagree with the~~ the applicability of the rates of contribution adopted in regard to the Hoolakallu and Nanjapur channels in the Manjunatha Reddy ~~has been shown by the~~ of Kasaba. the difference in the market value of Sales is taken at Rs 75/- per acre and the rates deduced therefrom according to

to the soil and quality
of the land vary from
25 Rupees. It may not
perhaps be necessary
to adopt five different
rates ~~What~~ lower in the case of
the adoption of
Rs 5/- three rates of
Rs 25, 20 and 15 ~~are~~ as
accordingly sanctioned
the Dy. Comm's proposal
to apply the lowest rate
of Rs 15/- to Bommenahalli
is also approved and
this left to the Dy Comm
to revert to the still lower rate
of Rs 12 recommended by
him for its villages, only
where he is satisfied
that the adoption of a higher rate will
cause real hardship.
~~And he thinks that~~ that
the rates having been
reduced as above, there
will be no difficulty
in collecting the arrears

Govt are of opinion
that

that it will be satisfac-
-tory alike to the landholders
and to Govt. if the lands
are at once classified by
the Survey Deptt and the
present temporary rates
are revised. The Supdt
of Rev. Survey is requested
to give his attention to this
suggestion.

The Jondars of
Bommenahalli complain
that a uniform rate of
Rs 4 for malnad ears works
hardship, and having
regard to the description
given by the Dy Comr of the
poor quality of the Soil in this
village, Govt Order
urge that his complaint has
some foundation. The Survey
Supdt is therefore requested
to revise these rates
according to the classi-
fication of the land.

5/1/98

for Secretary

4.1.98.

To:
1. The Dy Comr, Kolar (with records). ⊗
2. Survey Supdt

⊗ Sent separately
Parcel Post

(10) No. 2 Mutiny Petition

Year-
1647

Draft

Secretary

Abstract omitted in the reply
to Memorandum -
28. V.R.T. 9/7/00

10/7/00
To Revn. Genl. Proc. no 6091-98
p 827
H.E. 7-1-98
10-7
Note reply of the Revenue Branch
on separate slip -
Filed
G.O No 6091/827 & 7 Jan 98 is
not traceable in the Revenue
Branch which appears to have
taken it for reference - An extract
from the monthly proc book
of the above G.O is put up.
Mill
11.7

The above appointment
Illumination and patch.
All
9 11-7-00

As directed by the Revn
(see separate sheet)
Call for report with regard
to: Caste measures
to be adopted in the
Taluk during final Enquiry

20/7/00

Despatch
and Report
received
27/7/00.

1900

No. J 53

Name of Petitioner Notti Yadappa Chetty & Krishnaiah

Village Gollahalli & Others

Taluk Chikballapur

District Kolar

Date of Arzi

Date of Receipt 7 Jan 1900

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure None

ABSTRACT

Petition of Nottiyadappa Chetty & Krishnaiah dated 22-6-900 of the Kolar Division in regard to the distribution of Kistees & Contribution in the village of Bommenahalli and such other villages as may be commanded by the above tank.

The petitioners state as follows

Upon submitted a petition to the Deputy Commissioner Kolar on 22nd June 1900 praying that the Kistees and Contribution were being

demanded for all the lands in Rosanakshetra of Jodi Bommanahalli, (2) neither compensation for the lands in lieu of those taken up by the D.O.W. for road and avenue purposes were given, (3) lands had subsequently been taken up for tank and channel purposes, (4) Water rate and contribution which had been levied on old (manool) wet & garden lands and which were being demanded of them, might be remitted. Thereupon detailed reports had been submitted: The following order has been received:

"Contribution and water cess is not levied on all the lands of the village as you mention. Correct measurements have been taken by the surveyor in order to draw out tank water to such of the dry lands as have been converted into wet, & the wet & garden lands &c. and the reduced rates of water cess at Rs 4 - 2 acre, and of Contribution at Rs 15/- per acre have been settled on the same.

(63)

" No discussion has as yet taken place regarding the payment of Compensation, or lands in return for those that were taken up for road and avenue purposes. This took place many years ago: You could have got it settled then only.

" Lands that have been recently acquired for Kala purposes, are being measured by the Survey Dept. You will have to abide by the order that will be passed in the matter.

" As regards the levy of Contribution and water cess on mamool wet and garden lands also, discussion took place in the beginning of May and the question was disposed of in favour of the said levy on Concessional rates, as it cost less labour to draw water to the lands from the tank (which had been built at a heavy cost) than to get the supply either from a well or a halla. Moreover you have deposed to the effect that you agreed to pay up contribution, and that as

stamped paper was not available at the moment
you would draw up the muchalika
after getting the same.

" On the foregoing grounds, it (64)
is not possible to ~~exempt~~ cancel,
as per your request, the levy of con-
tribution and water cess. Sub Dy=
Hukeem No 892 & 22-6-900, issued
in accordance with the Dist-Office
docket, also directs the recovery of
contribution and water cess at-
the said rates on the ~~lan~~ cultivable dry
lands when they should in future
be converted into wet.

" Therefore you should pay
up at once the ~~balance~~ contribution
and water cess money outstanding
against you, or coercive measures
will be adopted to recover the same.
Afterwards your takarars will
not be accepted ".

=

Petrs. urge that mojani
was not conducted in their presence, (1)
nor were the accounts be shewn them;
that the mojanidar went to the
village when he was pleased to go,
and brought under measurement
all fit and unfit lands as also
the nearnool wetones, and including
them in the atchkat of the tank;
that the Kotagalahalli tank

to

has 3 sluices which will not
be able to supply water to their
village which is 3 miles off even
though the tank get filled 3 times
(ii). so as to enable them to raise at
least one crop ; that therefore
the rate of water cess & contri-
bution fixed @ Rs 3-4/- & Rs 15-2/- per
acre respectively are not reduced
ones ; that as regard the levy
(iii). of contribution & water cess on
their manai kat ilakkam
lands no officer sent for them
and enquired ; that although they
had informed the Amildar that
they did not require the tank water
and that they were not able to
pay contribution and water cess,
yet the Amildar secured the
presence of only some out of
the 84 vattidars of Pommarakal
and took down their depositions
to their having consented to pay
the said contribution and water
cess ; that on hearing their
pleas submitted a statement
which is in the file ; that
as they could easily derive their
water-supply from Pinakini
river & wells, they did not
use the tank water ; that they

begged

begged no Govt officer to construct the tank, nor did they even agree to pay contribution &c; that the Govt officer also did not undertake the construction in the hope that the poor petrs would pay this amount; that they have lost on account of the said tank measuring 1 mile in length from N to S; that water in the said well has frozen on either side and does not allow crops to grow there; that, on this subject, they have in their petitions said; and that water from Srinivasa Sagara tank is never required for their Jodi Bommenahalli.

Pray that contribution and water cess at present levied on them may be cancelled; that the lands now under attachment be released on the condition of their paying the annual Jodi, Quit Rent &c ons

Enc- Taluk Enq No 61 of 25/6/00

10/7/00

Pet[r]
49 Recent. 1/2

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Appeal Petition No. 53 ~~dated~~ without date received at the Dewan's Office on 9.7.00 from Rotti Yadupatyachar, Krishnachar and other Jodidars of Bommenahalli in the Chikballapur Taluk, appealing against order of the Deputy Collector directing the levy of water rate and contribution from the petitioners in respect of Srinivasa Sagar lands is forwarded, together with its one enclosures, to the Deputy Commissioner of the Kolar District for submission of the entire proceedings connected with the case, together with a full report of the facts of the case, and on the points urged in the petition, after personal enquiry into the complaints.

2. The petition with its enclosure should be returned.

(2) The Deputy Commissioner is further requested to state the rate and the other conditions on which complainants are holding their lands pending disposal of this appeal by the Government.

By order,

Secretary.

BANGALORE,
Recd. 9.7.00
189
9.7.00
20

20/7/[illegible]
for Secretary

(14)

(General Serial No. 30.)

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
Gl. No. 6687
26-1901
SEWAN'S OFFICE

19 [illegible] 427

From T. E. C. Carr Esquire
Deputy Commissioner
Salem District

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras, Revenue & General Department

Dated 1st April 1901.

Despatched

Received

General No. C. 1137. } 190 .
No.

Enclosures Number.

Submitting a report on the petition of the Inamdars Hegdupatachar and others of Bommanahally, Chickballapur Taluq regarding the levy of water rate and Contributions

Q. 27 of 1901 Containing
copy is put up

All
8/4/01
Please keep this for
a fortnight and then
submit
29-4-01
The petition submitted again

All
25/4/01

Submitted a draft reminder

MM
27.4.01

Pet
4-4-01

RJ 8-4-01

Q. 27/1901

Kolar District

Deputy Commissioner's office
Camp Champion Reef
1st April 1901.

From

J. E. C. Carr Esquire
Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Revenue and General
Departments.

Sir,

With reference to your official memo no: Pct/40 of 20th July 1900 calling for a report on the petition from the Inam-dars Yadu-pattachar and others

others of Bommenahalli, Chicka-
-balla pur Taluk, regarding the
levy of water rate and contri
-bution. I have the honor to state
that the Sub Dn officers Chickballa
pur, who had been deputed by the
late Deputy Commissioner Mr. B.K
Venkata Varada Iyengar, to enquire
into the matter, reports that he
inspected the Tank and Channel;
that of the 34 Vettidars 20 were
present, and their statements were
recorded; that fresh notices have
been issued to the absent Vetti-
dars; and after recording their
statements, the report called
for

for would be submitted within a week.

(51)

His attention has been drawn to the delay that has already occurred, and to the early submission of his report.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant

J Cameron
Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

(15)

Subject: Kikuyu

5-6-01

(General Serial No. 30.)

1901 - [illegible]

From J. E. C. Carr Esquire
Deputy Commissioner
Kisumu District

To The Secretary to the
Government of Uganda
Revenue & General
Departments

Dated 20th April 1901.

Despatched 23rd April 1901.

Received

General No. ~~4425~~ } 1901.
No. 703

Enclosures Number. Nil

Reporting on the
question of the position
of Commandant in
charge of Coercive proceedings
for the recovery of the
acreage Contribution due
by them.

Pets VI
30/5/01

Read 4/6

27/7/01

Ref 27 for Secretary's opinion
& instructions

4/6

~~The~~ The report meets all points
of the question in the petition with
the exception of one point which
is whether the natives are
liable to pay hut-tax
for lands for which they
do not desire Govt. title
& for which Govt. have
provided means of registration
In the particular case the
Kikuyu finds that the Kikuyu
have used Govt. roads & therefore
Question may be considered
to arise

I put up copies of certain correspondence
(App. VIII of the New Manual now in
the Press) which settle the question
as regards unalienated land but
do not seem to apply to alienated land

29/6/01

I see that J. C. Ainsworth says
that the sale of cattle case (4 Kis)
may be reversed. Has it been
so? If not why not? Please let me
have a note on the whole matter for
29/7

(1)

Kolar district
Deputy Commissioner's office
Camp Nundy Hill
2nd May 1901.

From

F. E. C. Carr Esquire,
Deputy Commissioner
Kolar district

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Revenue & Genl Dept.

Sir,

Replying to your docket No. Pet 1616, dated 29th April 1901, calling for a report on the petition of the Jodidars of Bommenahalli village in the Chickballapur Taluk, and directing the stay of coercive process for the recovery of arrears contributions due by them for the Sreenivasa Sagara Tank, I have the honor to report as

as follows:—

2. In 1896 the ryots of Posettihalli and other Government villages and the Jodidars of Bommenhalli, complained about the heavy rates of Contribution demanded from them, and on a detailed report from the then Deputy Commissioner, Government were pleased to approve of the levy of the lowest rate of Contribution, viz Rs 15/- for this village. Proceedings No. 6091-924 / Pet 827 dated 10th January 1898 runs

"The proposal to apply the lowest rate of Rs 15/- to Bommenahalli is also approved and it is left to the Deputy Commissioner to resort to the still lower rate of Rs 12/- recommended by him for this village only where he is satisfied that

that the adoption of a higher rate will cause real hardship.

3. The first item of objection raised by the petitioners is that the Measurer who was deputed by the Survey department to classify the lands, included many that were unfit for wet cultivation, as wet; Secondly that as the Jodi lands are 3 miles away from the sluice, they will not receive sufficient water even if the tank fills thrice.

4. The lands have been irregularly measured and classified by the Survey department and the first objection put forward does not require explanation.

The Capacity of this tank is stated to be 600 units capable of irrigating

irrigating about 1,200 acres, as the tank is calculated to fill twice. As the total ayakat assigned to the tank is less than this, part of the complaint does not deserve much credit. Moreover on personal inspection by the Sub division Officer, it was found that dry lands had been converted and used as wet. The Capers statement enclosed in the records shows the following particulars:-

	A·G	
Dry lands converted into wet ...	140-15	✓
do Convertable into wet but not taken up for Cultivation.	36-35	
Area formerly shaped wet but now lying fallow ...	19-17	
	196-27	

The total area culturable as wet comes up to 196-27 according to

2. (17) to the classers statement, and the Akarband prepared by the Survey Department shows an area of A 216 — G 34 or A 20 — G 7 more of waste land as included in the irrigable extent.

5. The Contention of the petitioners that only ~~that only~~ 50 acres including both wet and garden lands can be cultivated with tank water is absurd and untenable, seeing that the classification took place, and the rates of assessment were fixed as recently as 1899-1900.

6. The next point of objection is that all the Vrittidars did not execute a Mutchalika binding themselves to pay Contribution and the one executed was not

not on stamped paper. As neither of these are necessary and binding on Government, no importance can be attached to this objection.

7. The fourth point raised in the petition is that the wet and garden lands were from time immemorial supplied with river water and Dalapargi springs, and that as they did not require tank water it was unjust to demand Contribution from them.

8. A copies of Saguvali + Bilivali (areas of cultivated and banjar lands) statement prepared in 1899 by the Survey Assistant Superintendent shows that the tank water was used by them for bagayat lands, and the inspection made by the

Sub-division

Sub Division Officer Mr Chengiah Chetty confirms this. The Jodidars do not therefore depend upon and take their supplies from Talaparge and river channels, when they have the easier and more economical means of irrigation, ready at hand. The Contribution rules do not provide for cases where such exceptions can be recognized and I am of opinion that the Contribution should be paid by them.

9. The levy of a water rate of Rs 4/- per acre is also complained of as being very heavy owing to the poverty of the soil. The Survey Department after classifying the soils as per Govt Pro: No 6091-92 Pet 827 dated 7th January 1893 has

assessed wet lands of this village at Rs 6-6-0 to Rs 6-13-0, while the dry rates of this village are Rs 1-7-0 to 1-11-0. As the difference between the wet and dry rates represents the water rate, a much higher rate than Rs 4/- per acre ought to be levied from the jodidars.

10. The petitioners have already been shown a Concession by Government, the lowest rates of Rs 15 + 12 having been ordered to be recovered and any further reduction in this case would form an exceedingly bad precedent, and is in no way necessary.

11. The Jodidars state that lands to the extent of a mile North to South have been taken up for Channel work. The Subdivision officer

B.

Officer reports that the question of Compensation for these lands has never been raised till now. The lands taken up by the D.P.W have now been measured viz A G 8-16. Orders will be issued for settling the amount of Compensation payable.

12. As the various Contentions of the petitioners are groundless and appear to be intended merely to postpone the speedy recovery of arrears, no further Concepion appears necessary.

13. The Vernacular records bearing on the subject together with Copy of letter No. 798, dated 26th April 1901 from the Sub-Division Officer, Chickballapur are herewith submitted. I have the honor to be

Sir
your Most obedt Servant

Deputy Commissioner

Goo-Vd 467
3689
1900/1901

FROM The Sub division officer
Chikaballapura.

To The Deputy Commissioner
Kolar-district

Dated 26th April 1901

Despatched 28th do —

Received

General No. 798
No.

Enclosure No. 1 file of records

Sir

With reference to your
Memo No: 257, dated 24th
July 1900, forwarding
for report, the petition
of Gadupathachar and
other goldsmiths of Bommen-
-halli village in the
Chikaballapura Taluk,
addressed by them to
Government, complain-
-ing against the order
of the Deputy Commissioner,
Regarding the levy of

MGCPB—3-3-01—

Contribution and water rate upon lands under Kesinivara Lagan Tank I have the honour to submit the following report.

From the records, I find that so early as November 1896, the Ryots of Six Tam villages and the Vattidars of Josi Bommenahally village complained to Government about the heavy rate of contribution, whereupon the Deputy Commissioner was requested to enquire and submit a detailed report. The then Deputy Commissioner Mr. A. Venugopalaraya Sayangar inspected the Tank, the channels under it and the lands irrigated by them and forwarded an exhaustive report, upon receipt of which, the Government passed the following order, in regard to Josi Bommenahally village.

"The Deputy Commissioner's proposal to apply the lowest rate of Rs 15/- to Bommenahally is also approved

approved (84) and it is left to the Deputy Commissioner to resort to the still lower rate of Rs 12/- recommended by him for this village only where he is satisfied that the adoption of a higher rate will cause real hardship."

"The goodidars of Bommenahally ~~of Bommenahally~~ complain that a uniform rate of Rs 4/- for water cess, works hardship and having regard to the description given by the Deputy Commissioner of the poor quality of the soil in this village, Government consider that this complaint has some foundation. The Survey Superintendent is therefore requested to revise these rates according to the classification of the land."

I twice inspected the tank, the sluices and also the lands of the goodi village of Bommenahally. On both these occasions

Source of the Vellidars accompanies me.

(85)

This tank was built across the Pennar river at a considerable cost, about 6 years ago. It has three Sluices.

No. I and III Sluices are each a mile in length and irrigate 160 acres, while No: II is four miles long and irrigates about 567 acres.

The lands of Jodi Bommanahally are situated in the 3rd mile of Sluice No: II and as per Akarband received from the Survey Superintendent, these are classified as:

	Acres	Guntas
Garden land	42	9
Wet land	216	34.
Total	259	3.

The Vellidars were directed to pay Rs 12 per acre as contribution on the above total extent and also water rate at Rs 4/- per acre

2 bags

2 bags

It is against this order, the Villidars preferred an appeal to Government.

The points of contention[x] are briefly as follows: -

(a) That the Inspection classification many of the lands unfit for wet cultivation as wet, in their absence

(b) That the tank has three sluices and that some lands are 3 miles from them and even if the tank fills thrice there will not be sufficient water for cultivating all their lands

(c) That as regards levy of contribution and water rate on kayal and wet lands, no enquiry was held by any officer and that the Amildar by misrepresentation, took down the Statement of some of the Villidars, to the effect that they would pay contribution and water rate, and

[x] Vide petition and Statement dated 9-7-1900 and 15-3-1901 respectively

that they repudiate the agreement
day the above Statement and
that they would not pay any contribu-
tion or water rate and that they
did not execute any memorials
on Stamped paper.

(d) That their wet and bagayat
lands have been from time
immemorial supplied with water
from the Talapanige and Vellara
Pinnayakan river channel and
from wells, and therefore no
water from the tank is required
in addition; to irrigate these lands,
and it is therefore unjust to demand
any contribution for water rate
upon such lands.

(e) That they have also stated
below, as time to the length of
one mile South to North has
been taken up for channel
work and the lands adjoining
the channel have become unfit
for cultivation, as the water
running through the channel
percolates

percolates into the soil

This village is divided into 34 Vrittis and 8 of Vrittidars holding 20½ Vrittis appeared before me and gave a statement to the above effect and added that the lands are sandy and of inferior quality and even when highly manured, do not yield good crop as testified by one Ayyappa who cultivated about 10 acres and sustained loss and they are therefore not prepared to convert their dry lands into wet, but if they are compelled by Government, they would select the best 50 acres and pay water rate at Rs 2/- and contribution at Rs 12/- per acre in four instalments; that they paid Rs 96—0—5 as water rate for 22 acres and 23 guntas of their bagayat lands at Rs 4/- per acre, as the lands were attached though they do not utilize the tank waters.

The

The other Pattadars holding
13½ Units did not turn up though
a second notice was issued
~~there~~, as they happened to be absent
from the village.

I shall now proceed to
reply to all these points.

(1) Their objection about the
wrong classification evidently
refers to the classification made
by the Taluk Nojavidars at the
outset. As the lands have since
been regularly measured and
classified by the Revenue Survey
Establishment — this objection need
not now be considered.

2) From the Sub Assistant
Engineer, I learn that the capacity
of this tank is 600 acres and is
estimated to irrigate about 1200
acres, as the tank is calculated to fill twice
how the extent of the land under
Nos: I and III Sluices is 166 acres
and under Nos: II Sluice, 561 acres.
In all 727 acres. Therefore the plea

That

* Vide the Sub Assistant Engineer's letter No: 254 dated 26th August 1911

that water will not be sufficient if the lands under all the sluices are brought under cultivation may be dismissed as groundless, when the D.P.W. estimated the quantity of water in this tank as sufficient for irrigating about 1200 acres, it may safely be inferred that it will suffice for irrigating less than 2/3 of the estimated area.

As regards Vagayal lands which is 42 acres and of garden in extent, it is idle to contend that no tank water has been used for them. At the time of my 2nd inspection, which was done without any previous notice, I found the ryots using tank water for these lands. When I questioned the Vellalars, they said, in spite of their protests, their tenants have taken the tank water. From the copy of Segri Bills Memorandum

Statement prepared in 1899 by the
Assistant Superintendent of
Mysore Revenue Survey, it will
be seen that tank water has been
used for these bagayat lands.

The principle on which contribu-
tion is levied, is that the value
of dry lands is enhanced consider-
ably whenever a big tank is
constructed and lands below it
are brought under wet cultiva-
tion. This cannot be said of
lands which had been bagayat
lands prior to the construction
of the tank. It is true that the
owners of these gardens will be
saved the trouble and expense
of working Kapile wells but on
this ground alone, the price of
these cannot go up very high as
in the case of dry lands converted
into wet. I would therefore
recommend the levy of a lower
rate of contribution for bagayat
lands

lands viz Rs 5/- per acre (that is one third of the rate of Rs 15/- fixed by Government for all the Bagayat lands of this good village.

As to the levy of water rate, under Section XIX of the Revenue Rules, Rs 4/- per acre is fixed in unsurveyed Taluks, when water is supplied from a channel drawn from perennial stream and Rupees 2-8-0 from a good Government tank; and in surveyed Taluks, the Deputy Commissioner has to fix the water rate in consultation with the Superintendent of Revenue Survey, having regard to the prevailing rates of the adjoining wet lands. This Taluk was surveyed and settled about 15 years ago, but the good village is not yet settled. In the Karnad for this village the rates collected for wet lands range from Rs 6-13-0 to Rs 5-6-0, while those for dry lands from 1-11-0 to 1-7-0. The water rate has to be one fourth

fixed in consultation with the Survey Superintendent. In my opinion, Rs. 2-0-0 will be a fair rate per acre considering the poor quality of the soil.

The question of compensation for the extent of land taken up for channels did not crop up till now. The Rajamdar reports that the area covered by the channel within the 3 villages comes to 8 acres and 16 guntas. If it is decided that compensation should be paid for all the lands thus taken up both in Dikan and 3 villages, lists will be prepared and submitted for sanctions fixing the amount of compensation.

From the Akarabund of the 3 villages, it will be seen that 216 acres and 34 guntas are irrigable from the tank water.

There is no doubt that major portions of this extent of land is sandy and of inferior quality.

For

for the first few years it is not likely that there will be good crop, taking into consideration the nature of the soil. From Syatappa's Statement, it will be seen that he did get 3 annas crop when he raised Kanthira paval but when he cultivated 2 marcals to 2 acres for the Vyasak, in the same year, he did not get any crop, as there was no water in the tank. Owing to scanty rainfall, there may not have been enough of water in the tank for Vyasakam crop in that year. From the Revenue Survey Assistant Superintendent's Report received with No: 739, dated 16th April it will be seen that 40 acres and 15 gundas were already brought under cultivation in 1899.

The Vellalars belong to the priestly class and are in reduced

circumstances. They do not generally remain in the village and are not able to give any pecuniary help to the Ryots who will undertake to cultivate their lands. Of these lands certain land in the depth of one and one half feet and will not be possible to raise a fair crop unless they are heavily manured, I would strongly urge that the contribution amount may be reduced to # 8/- per acre.

I have the honour to be
Sir
Your most obedient &
humble servant
for
Sub Divisional Officer,
Chittoor

1909 (16)

From

THE SECRETARY TO THE
GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

Revenue & General Depts

To

The Superintendent of
Revenue Survey
in Mysore

Dated
Despatched 29th / 31st July 1907
Received

General No. 239
P.Z. No. 27-00

Enclosure No.

Govt: Proceedings no 6391-92
Pol 8297
dt 7th Janry: 1898, directing
~~the classification of soils~~ the
revision of the temporary
water-rates ~~fixed~~ on the lands
below the Srinivarsagar
in the Chikballapur taluk
& the reclassification of the lands

With reference to the above
has the honor to request whether
the
~~any~~ revision was ~~made~~
~~in accordance with the Govt: Proceedings~~
~~above mentioned~~ and

if

I do especially with reference to
to para 3 of
the Govt: Proceedings

if so with what result.

By Order

DLR

Sec Secretary

LG
29/7

1740
6-8-01
190

(17)

Ref 161

82 2 7 of 1900 Condemning
exp. in festivals

All
6.8.01
Submitted
6/8/01

From

THE SUPERINTENDENT,

MYSORE REV. SURVEY.

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,

GEN. & REV. DEPTS.

Dated
Despatched } 5th August 1901
Received

General No. 106
No.

Enclosure No. one

In reply to Docket No. 239 of 82.7.00 of 31-7-01

SUBJECT

Reporting that the classification of the lands under the Srinivasapur had been completed and the Abandonment to the Deputy Commr on 30th March 1901.

References
(to be entered only in the Dewan's Office)

Delhi
6.8.01

and the Akarbands sent to — (4)
the Deputy Commissioner with
my letter No 711 of the 30th March
1900, copy of which is appended
in order to show under what
circumstances and for what
purpose the Akarbands in —
question were prepared.

2 I did not report specially
on the subject of Para 3 of —
Government Proceedings No —
6091-92 / Pet 827 of the 7th January 1898,
because I considered that —
the Akarbands which I sent
to the Deputy Commissioner
as above stated would put
him in full possession of
all the information which

which Government might require in connection with the Proceed-ings quoted, including the appropriateness of the rates complained of by the Jodidar of Bomenhalli.

I have the honor to be
Sir.
Your most obedt servant

Supt Mysore Rev. Survey

No. 106
Enc.:

OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDENT
OF REVENUE SURVEY.

Bangalore dated 5th August 190 .

From

The Superintendent, Revenue Survey.

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Gen. & Rev. Depts.

Sir,

In reply to your letter No. 230 P.T. 27-28 dated 31st July re. enquiring whether the re-classification of the lands below the Sirinagar tank in the Chikballapur taluk had been carried out, I have the honor to state that the classification of the lands in question was completed

and

No. 714
Enc:

OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDENT
OF REVENUE SURVEY.

Bangalore dated 31st March 1908

From

The Superintendent, Revenue Survey.

To

The Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

Sir

I have the honour to forward
the Standard of the land, as the
statement received, signed by
the Police of the Chinna-
nagar Tank as requested in
your letter of 1081/709 of the 14th
September 1907

2. I beg that you will consider
these Standards as finally
settled for the purpose mentioned

in

(12)

Proceedings of the Govt of Karnataka

(12)

Read again:-

Govt: Procs: No 6091-2/0827 dt 7.1.98, requesting the Supdt of Rev: Survey in Mysore, to review the uniform water rate of 4 Rs fixed on the Bommenhalli Jodi lands, having regard to the poor quality of the soil. &c

Read:-

Petition of Appeal No 53 dt 1/98-07 from Rotti Yadupati Achar & Mri Jodidars of Bommenhalli, complaining against the levy of Contribution at Rs 15/- per acre & of water Cess at Rs 4/-.

2. Correspondence ending with letter no: 4426/703 dt 20.5.0.

from the Dy Commr Kolar Dt:
reporting on the above and (104)
stating that the lands have been
regularly measured and classified ~~as recently as 1889-19~~
by the Survey Department, that
many dry lands have been converted
into wet; that from the Akarbands it
clear that about 216 - 36 are
irrigable by the tank; ~~that it is~~
~~not necessary to get a stamped~~
~~Mutakalika executed~~; that the
tank water has been used for the
bagayat lands; that as the Survey
Deptt: has assessed ~~in accordance with~~
~~the~~ G.O. 6081-92 & G.K. 88, wet-
Ref-827
lands at Rs 6-6-0 & 6-13-0 & dry rates
at Rs 1-7-0 & Rs 1-11-0 ~~that as the~~
~~difference between the two is more~~
~~than Rs 4/- it must be levied~~
~~from the pooidars for water cess;~~
the water-rate if is not heavy
and that as the lowest-rates of contribution
at Rs 15 & 12 per acre have been ordered
to be recovered, any further
instruction is unnecessary.

in Government Proceedings of
609-98 / Ret 824 dated 7th January 1895.

3. The Chairman show the rate which would be adopted for each Survey Number now the land to come under settlement and must leave it to you to divide the land into 4 classes by aid of those rates.

4. A forward copy of Claim Officers No 38 of the 16th August 1894 which may be of use to you.

I have &c.

Sd/ J. P. Grant.

Supt Officer &c.
Salem

"Copy"

Sd/ J. P. Grant

Supt Officer &c.
Salem

Submitted draft.
21.12.02
24/1/03

(17)

6858.
19 DEC 1902 1902

From F.C. Cardew Esqr
Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

To H.D. Nanjundayya Esqr
Secretary to the Government
of Mysore

Dated 15th December 1902
Despatched 16 X 853
Received
General No. C. 942
No.

Enclosure No. 4 bills

Submitting for sanction a refund bill for Rs 4-2-0 in favor of certain Jodidars of Jodi Bommanahalli village

Rates 15.12.02

Rec'd 19/12

02 27 00 Containing rep is put up

19.12.02

Transferred to the Revenue Branch (P.L.) 20/12/02

20-12-02

Rec'd 1/1/03

No. C. 762

Kolar Deputy Commissioner's Office
Camp Chanpian Reefs. —
Dated 15th December 1902

From

(107)

J. C. Ward Esq^re
Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

To,

H. V. Nanjundayya Esq^re.
Secretary to the Government of
Mysore, Revenue and General
Departments.

Sir,

With reference to Government Proceedings No. $\frac{503-4}{P.W.\ 27}$ dated 3rd September 1901 sanctioning the levy of the reduced rate of Rs 2-8-0 as water Cess per acre of dry land in the Jodi Bommanahalli Village of the Chikballapur Taluk, I have the honor to submit herewith for favor of sanction a consolidated refund bill with 3 subsidiary ones for Rs 4. 11. 2, being the excess amount of water Cess recovered at Rs: 4/- per acre from the Jodidars and credited into the Treasury. —

2 The Vernacular records on the subject are herein enclosed for reference and obliging return.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obt servant

J. C. Ward
Deputy Commissioner Kolar

3. Letter No: 106 dt 5-8-01 from the Supdt: of Rev: Survey in Mysore, reporting that the classification of the lands under the Sriniwasalagar tanks was completed on 30th March 1900

4 The Records of the Case

No. 503-6 / R.D. 27-00 &

Order thereon — Put up 3-4 8-9-01.

The Govt. direct the reduction of the water rate from Rs 4 to Rs 2-8-0 per acre in the village of Bommenhalli lying in Inam &c. The other points urged in the appeal petn have been already disposed of and completed & recognised by the Secretary.

To The Dy Commr: Kolar

with records.

The Supdt: of Rev: Survey in Mysore for info

RD 27/8

2)

Read

Letter no: C 942 dated
15th December 1902
from the Deputy Com-
missioner, Kolar Dist,
submitting for Sanction
a refund bill (with
three subsidiary bills)
for Rs 4.11.2, being the
excess amount of
water cess received
~~as Rs per acre~~ from
the Jodidars of Bom-
menahalli village
Chikballapur Taluk,
the cess being reduced
from Rs 4/- to Rs 2-8-0
per acre as ordered
in Govt Pro: no: 503-4
P.F. 27-
dated 3rd September 190

Order Thereon:—

The bill is sanctioned
and forwarded to the Comptr
Mysore Govt for audit.

Dy
Secretary

To D. C. Kolar returning 1. papers
Comptroller, M.G. with bills.

1106-7
No 7-1106-7 27/1/03
27